

How to measure Teamwork Quality in Virtual Teams



Markus Behn It's About People 2022

Agenda

- Introduction Markus Behn
- Research Background
- Methods
- Results Virtual Teams
- Results Teamwork Quality
- Discussion of Results
- Conclusion
- Questions and Answers



Introduction - Markus Behn





- Hildesheim, Germany
- 42 years, married, one son, two Labradors
- Professional Background:
 - Diplom-Wirtschaftsingenieur (Industrial Engineer)
 - Master of Business Administration
 - PhD in Project Management (3rd year)
- Siemens (5 years), SKF (18 years)
- Ca. 5 years in Business Development (Automotive, Renewables, Electronics) 10+ years in Project Management (Product Development, Change Projects)



Research Background





- 16% of all companies worldwide are fully remote and the number is rising (Steward 2022)
- Recently, many companies switched to remote work due to COVID-19:
 - For >50% of the workforce it was a new experience (Lister & Kamouri 2020)
 - No playbooks were available for such a spontaneous change (Mortensen & Hadley 2020)
 - Managers were/are worried concerning employee's performance (Lindner 2020, 5)
 - 64% of leaders plan to change to remote work permanently (Meluso et all, 2020, 55)
 - For Generation Y (Millennials) and younger virtual collaboration will become part of the company culture (Tamang 2020)
- No tool available to measure the quality of collaboration within Virtual Teams
- First literature study required to investigate if anything exists for co-located teams that could be adapted for Virtual Teams

Methods





- Literature study has been done in three steps:
 - 1. Planning stage
 - Definition of the research problem and consideration of literature inclusion/exclusion criteria (Clark et all, 2019, 41-42)
 - 2. Literature investigations
 - In total ca. 100 sources have been examined (most have been found with Google Scholar and/or Web of Science)
 - Key search terms: Teamwork Quality and Virtual Teams
 - Most sources were not older than ten years (with some exceptions due to importance)
 - 46 sources were used for the study (half of them are used for this summary)
 - 3. Documentation
 - Has been done in form of a research paper

Results – Virtual Teams 1/2





- A virtual team is ...
 - ... from a location and/or organisation perspective separated from each other and
 - ... depends on digital collaboration based on ICT

(Nickel & Keil 2021, 14; Scott & Wildman 2015, 13; Zigurs 2003, 340)

- Drivers for Virtual Teams:
 - Technology improvement (RW3 CultureWizard 2018, 3)
 - Globalization and higher need for innovation (Adamovic 2017, 2159)
 - Pandemic situation due to COVID-19 (Wrycza & Maślankowski 2020, 1)
- It is more difficult to solve problems remotely (Saltman 2020)
- "Proportion of teamwork time spent working virtually" and "The proportion of member virtuality" can be calculated (Schweitzer & Duxbury 2009, 281)

$$WV = \frac{\Sigma \text{ hours members spent working virtually}}{\Sigma \text{ hours members spent on team tasks}} \cdot 100\% \qquad \qquad MV = \frac{\text{Number of different member locations}}{\text{Number of team members}} \cdot 100\%$$



Results - Virtual Teams 2/2





- Gibson & Gibbs defined the 4 key characteristics of Virtual Teams (2006, 451)
 - Geographical dispersion
 - Experts from all over the world could participate in Virtual Teams
 - Lower salary costs in specific countries could motivate managers to extend virtual teams to these regions
 - Electronic dependence
 - As Virtual Teams depend on ICT, it is the responsibility of leaders to ensure all required systems work according to team member's needs (Hacker et all, 2019, 2)
 - Even if ICT works well, team members communicate differently/less, which can lead to different assumptions about the team or project status (Wong & Berntzen 2019, 7)
 - National diversity (includes cultural diversity)
 - Culture has an important impact on people's attitude and is amongst others based on geographical, ethnic, economic, and political influences (Abyad 2017, 17)
 - Culture is the "collective programming of the mind which distinguishes the members of one human group from another" (Hofstede 1981, 21)
 - Structural dynamism
 - Changes can happen frequently (Gibson & Gibbs 2006, 453) and a dynamic structure can increase uncertainty which might hamper open communication and knowledge sharing within the team (Gibbs et all, 2017, 4)



Results – Teamwork Quality (TWQ)





- TWQ is a measure for the quality of collaboration in co-located teams (Hoegl and Gemuenden 2001, 439)
- Six facets have been identified (Hoegl and Gemuenden 2001, 437):
 - Communication (Frequent, informal, direct, and open)
 - Coordination (Well-structured and synchronized efforts within the team)
 - Balance of Member Contributions (All team members can bring in their full expertise)
 - Mutual Support (All team members help and support each other)
 - Effort (Team members exert all efforts to the team's tasks)
 - Cohesion (Team members maintain the team, there is team spirit)
- Highly collaborative teams usually show behaviours of all six facets (Hoegl et all, 2004, 41)



Discussion of Results





- Hoegl's and Gemuenden's methodology (2001) looks like the best fit for the measurement of TWQ in Virtual Teams
- Their survey could be reduced to 36 statements (coming from 60) with a five-point answer scale
- To calculate Schweitzer's and Duxbury's "Proportion of teamwork time spent working virtually" and "The proportion of member virtuality" (2009, 281), the survey must be extended by the following questions:
 - How many hours did you spent working virtually?
 - How many hours did you spent on team tasks?
 - In how many different locations are the members of your team based?
 - How many members are part of your team?
- These 40 statements/questions will be an adequate input for the measurement of TWQ in Virtual Teams

Conclusion





- Virtual teams will become the new reality and a standard for next generations
- Literature study determined many sources but Hoegl's & Gemuenden's work (TWQ)
 as well as Schweitzer's & Duxbury's work (Virtual Teams) became the main sources
 for a tool to measure TWQ in Virtual Teams
- Next steps:
 - Pre-test the survey with a pilot group of people
 - Implement the survey as a tool to gather data for regular measurements of TWQ
- It's About People
 - → The possibility to measure TWQ in Virtual Teams should inspire researchers to investigate how Teamwork Quality in Virtual Teams could be improved

Questions and Answers





Thank you very much for your attention





#	Source
1	Abyad, Abdulrazak. 2017. Globalization Challenges in Project Management. <i>Middle-East Journal of Business</i> 12(4): 10-19. DOI: 1EJB.2017.93085.
2	Adamovic, Mladen. 2017. An employee-focused human resource management perspective for the management of global virtual teams. <i>The International Journal of Human Resource Management</i> 29(14): 2159-2187. DOI: 10.1080/09585192.2017.1323227.
3	Clark, Derek A. G., Annlize L. Marnewick and Carl Marnewick. 2019. Virtual Team Performance Factors: A Systematic Literature Review. 2019 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM): 40-44. DOI: 10.1109/IEEM44572.2019.8978809.
4	Gibbs, Jennifer L., Heewon Kim and Maggie Boyraz. 2017. Virtual Teams. <i>The International Encyclopedia of Organizational Communication</i> : 1-14. DOI: 10.1002/9781118955567.wbieoc215.
5	Gibson, Christina. B. and Jennifer L. Gibbs. 2006. Unpacking the Concept of Virtuality: The Effects of Geographic Dispersion, Electronic Dependence, Dynamic Structure, and National Diversity on Team Innovation. <i>Administrative Science Quarterly</i> 51(3): 451-495. DOI: 10.2189/asqu.51.3.451.





#	Source
6	Hacker, Janine V., Michael Johnson, Carol Saunders and Amanda L. Thayer. 2019. Trust in Virtual Teams: A Multidisciplinary Review and Integration. <i>Australasian Journal of Information Systems</i> 23: 1-36. DOI: 10.3127/ajis.v23i0.1757.
7	Hoegl, Martin and Hans Georg Gemuenden. 2001. Teamwork Quality and the Success of Innovative Projects: A Theoretical Concept and Empirical Evidence. <i>Organization Science</i> 12(4): 435-449. DOI: 10.1287/orsc.12.4.435.10635.
8	Hoegl, Martin, Katharina Weinkauf and Hans Georg Gemuenden. 2004. Interteam Coordination, Project Commitment, and Teamwork in Multiteam R&D Projects: A Longitudinal Study. <i>Organization Science</i> 15(1): 38-55. DOI: 10.1287/orsc.1030.0053.
9	Hofstede, Geert. 1981. <i>Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values</i> . SAGE Publications, Volume 5 of Cross-Cultural Research and Methodology Series. ISBN: 978-0701181802.
10	Lindner, Dominic. 2020. Virtuelle Teams und Homeoffice: Empfehlungen zu Technologien, Arbeitsmethoden und Führung. Springer Gabler. ISBN: 978-3658308926.





#	Source
11	Lister, Kate and Anita Kamouri. 2020. Survey Reveals 76% of Global Office Workers Want to Continue Working from Home post-COVID-19. Global Workplace Analytics. Available at: https://globalworkplaceanalytics.com/brags/news-releases (18 January 2022).
12	Meluso, John, Susan Johnson and James Bagrow. 2020. Flexible Environments for Hybrid Collaboration - Redesigning Virtual Work Through the Four Orders of Design. <i>SocArXiv</i> . DOI: 10.31235/osf.io/wehsk.
13	Mortensen, Mark and Constance Noonan Hadley. 2020. How to Prepare Your Virtual Teams for the Long Haul. Harvard Business Review. Available at: https://hbr.org/2020/05/how-to-prepare-your-virtual-teams-for-the-long-haul (12 January 2022).
14	Nickel, Susanne and Gunhard Keil. 2021. Führen auf Distanz. Haufe Lexware. ISBN: 978-3648147788.
15	RW3 CultureWizard. 2018. <i>Virtual Teams Survey 2018 Executive Brief</i> . Available at: https://www.rw-3.com/virtual-teams-eb (23 January 2022).
16	Saltman, Deborah C. 2020. Is COVID-19 an opportunity to improve virtual leadership? <i>Australian Journal of General Practice</i> 49(12). DOI: 10.31128/AJGP-COVID-12.





#	Source
17	Scott, Charlie and Jessica Wildman. 2015. Culture, Communication, and Conflict: A Review of the Global Virtual Team Literature. <i>Leading Global Teams</i> : 13-32. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2050-1_2.
18	Schweitzer, Linda and Linda Duxbury. 2010. Conceptualizing and measuring the virtuality of teams. Information Systems Journal 20(3): 267-295. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2575.2009.00326.x.
19	Steward, Jack. 2022. <i>The Ultimate List Of Remote Work Statistics for 2022</i> . Available at: https://findstack.com/remote-work-statistics (15 February 2022).
20	Tamang, Pritam. 2020. <i>3 Challenges of Virtual Project Management and How Managers Can Fix Them</i> . Capterra Inc. Available at: https://blog.capterra.com/virtual-project-management-challenges (3 January 2022).
21	Wong, Sut I., and Marthe N. Berntzen. 2019. Transformational leadership and leader–member exchange in distributed teams: The roles of electronic dependence and team task interdependence. <i>Computers in Human Behavior</i> 92: 381-392. DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.11.032.





#	Source
22	Wrycza, Stanisław and Jacek Maślankowski. 2020. Social Media Users' Opinions on Remote Work during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Thematic and Sentiment Analysis. <i>Information Systems Management</i> 37(4): 288-297. DOI: 10.1080/10580530.2020.1820631.
23	Zigurs, Ilze. 2003. Leadership in virtual teams: Oxymoron or opportunity? <i>Organizational Dynamics</i> 31(4): 339-351. DOI: 10.1016/S0090-2616(02)00132-8.